

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Des. 1405 Advancia, Vignan 22113-1450 www.manco.yy

| <u></u> | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | 1.7700 | | |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| | 09/966,299 | 09/27/2001 | Nikolay V. Brukov | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| | | | THROISY V. Brukov | HUK-2003-1 | 5037 | |
| | | 90 06/25/2003 | | | | |
| | RONALD & (| CORNELI. | | | | |
| | 4901 Cremshaw | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | | | | |

4901 Cremshaw Court
Raleigh, NC 27614

MENON, KRISHNAN S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1723
DATE MAILED 06/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | Office Action Summi | 1 | 09/966,299 | BRUKOV ET AL |
| | a a secon dumme | y | xaminer | Art Unit |
| - | The MAILING DATE - 641: | K | rishnan S Menon | |
| Period f | or Reply | mmunication appea | rs on the cover sheet v | 1723 vith the correspondence address |
| after - If the - If NO | MAILING DATE OF THIS COM mails of time may be available under the prositions of time may be available under the prosition (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of it | IOD FOR REPLY IS IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply with mum statutory period with | SET TO EXPIRE 1 No. In no event, however, may a in the statutory minimum of thir | MONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed |
| 1)[🖂 | | | | ,, |
| 2a)[☐ | Responsive to communication | i(s) filed on <u>10 Febr</u> | uary 2002 . | |
| 3) | This action is FINAL. | 2h) This - | 41 . | |
| Disposition | | dition for allowance practice under <i>Ex p</i> | | ters, prosecution as to the merits 1. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| 4)⊠ (| Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in | the application | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above claim(s) | is/are withdrawn fro | Om consideration | |
| ,— . | is/are allowed. | | on consideration. | |
| 6) 🗌 (| Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7)[_] C | laim(s) is/are objected to | o. | | |
| 8)⊠ C pplication | laim(s) 1-20 are subject to root | riction and/or election | n requirement. | |
| 9)□ Th | e specification is objected to by | the Evenines | | |
| IU)[] IN | e drawing(s) filed on is/a | re: a\[] | | |
| , | Applicant may not request that any e proposed drawing correction f | objection to the draw | objected to by the | Examiner. |
| 11) The | e proposed drawing correction f | iled on is: all | ng(s) be held in abeyand | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| If | approved, corrected drawings are | required in reply to the | _ approved b)∐ disa | pproved by the Examiner. |
| , | y dath of decial align is objected | to by the Examiner | is Office action. | |
| ionly una | er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) 🗌 Ac | knowledgment is made of a clai | m for foreign prioris | / | |
| | | | | 19(a)-(d) or (f). |
| 1.[| Certified copies of the priorit | V documente been | | |
| | 2 Ochanica copies of the priorit | V documents have b | | |
| 3.[| Copies of the certified copies | of the priority does | een received in Appli | cation No |
| | Copies of the certified copies application from the Inter the attached detailed Office actions and the company of a claim of the company of the control of t | | | |
| | | | | |
| a) ∐ 5)∏ Ackno | The translation of the foreign la owledgment is made of a claim | nguage provisional | application has been | o(e) (to a provisional application). |
| hment(s) | owledgment is made of a claim | for domestic priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 | eceived. 20 and/or 121 |
| Notice of Re | eferences Cited (DTO acc | | • | |
| | aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P: | TO-948) aper No(s) | 4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| n and Trademark 26 (Rev. 04-0 | Uffice | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-12, drawn to method of making filter elements, classified in class 425, subclass 113.
- II. Claims 13-19, drawn to apparatus for continuous extrusion of filter element, classified in class 425, subclass 376.1.
- III. Claim 20, drawn to filter element, classified in class 210, subclass 510.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either. (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, like making ceramic filters or pipes.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product, such as ceramic pipes, and the product could be made by injection molding.

Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as

claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case that the apparatus as claimed is an extruder, which not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different products such as ceramic and plastic pipes. The product can also be made by injection molding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Ronald Cornell, attorney of record, on 6/20/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Attorney requested for a written restriction.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 703-305-5999. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,299 Art Unit: 1723

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Krishnan Menon Patent Examiner June 20, 2003

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700